JOURNAL OF TROPICAL LIFE SCIENCE

2023, Vol. 13, No. 2, 257 – 276 http://dx.doi.org/10.11594/jtls.13.02.04

Research Article

Development of CRISPR/Cas9 Construct in Rice (*Oryza sativa* subsp. *indica*) Using Golden Gate Cloning Method Towards Drought Tolerance

Anis Afuza Md Yusof ¹, Amin-Asyraf Tamizi ¹, Nurul Asyikin Mohd-Zim ¹, Siti Syafiqa Abdul Sattar ¹, Mohd Syahmi Salleh ¹, Nur Sabrina Ahmad Azmi ², Zamri Zainal ³, Zarina Zainuddin ², Nurul Hidayah Samsulrizal ^{1*}

- ¹ Department of Plant Science, Kulliyyah of Science, International Islamic University of Malaysia, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang
- ² Plant Productivity and Sustainable Resource Unit, Kulliyyah of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia, 25200, Kuantan, Pahang
- ³ Institute of Systems Biology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia

Article history: Submission September 2022 Revised November 2022 Accepted November 2022

*Corresponding author: E-mail: hidayahsamsulrizal@iium.edu.my

ABSTRACT

Rice (Oryza sativa) is a staple food consumed by the majority of the world's population. Climate change, however, has created a significant threat to our food security as it posed severe effects on rice production. The emergence of genome editing technology has opened a new era in crop improvement. Hence, this study aims to develop the CRISPR/Cas9 construct of drought tolerance for O. sativa subsp. indica cv. IR64 using Golden Gate cloning method. For this purpose, the generation of CRISPR/Cas9 constructs involved several stages, i.e., characterization of SUMO E2-*Conjugating Enzyme* (OsSCE1) gene, single-guide RNA (sgRNA) design and vector construction. FGENESH, GeneMarkS, InterProScan, and Blast2GO programmes were used for the OsSCE1 gene characterisation. The putative OsSCE1 gene isolated from IR64 was then verified by sequencing, and the gene was 585 bp long and showed 99% identity with O. sativa on chromosome 10. In silico analysis concluded the gene is involved in abiotic stress regulation. The 20 bp sgRNA was designed manually with the aid of gRNA prediction programmes including CCTop, and Benchling. The virtual vector was validated using the Golden Gate Cloning approach and later confirmed through sequencing. The assembly involved separate vectors containing the OsSCE1 sgRNA sequence, plant selectable marker, and Cas9 cassette to construct standardised elements for hierarchical modular cloning (MoClo). This study demonstrated that our format, as the gene insertion are achievable, resulting in a speedier and more efficient assembly process which may contribute to improve drought tolerance in *indica* rice. Further study on the Agrobacterium-mediated transformation using the developed construct may be conducted to determine the efficacy of knocking out candidate genes in improving drought tolerance ability *O. sativa*.

Keywords: CRISPR/Cas9, Drought, Golden gate cloning, Oryza sativa, sgRNA

Introduction

Rice is one of the world's important staple foods, consumed by half of the global population. In taxonomy, rice belongs to the order Poales and the family Poaceae [1]. Cultivated rice can be either *Oryza sativa* (Asian rice) or *O. glaberrima* (African rice) and the genus contains both diploid (2n=24) and tetraploid (2n=48) species. Archaeological and historical sources pointed out

that *O. sativa* originated from Southeast Asia and derived from perennial *Oryza rufipogon* and annual *Oryza nivira*. It comes from diphyletic origin and consists of two subspecies, *O. sativa* subsp. *indica* (adapted to the tropics), and *O. sativa* subsp. japonica (adapted to tropical uplands and temperate regions) [2, 3]. Genetically, rice genome studies started when the International

How to cite:

Md Yusof AA, Tamizi AA, Zim NAM, et al. (2023) Development of CRISPR/Cas9 Construct in Rice (*Oryza sativa* subsp. *indica*) Using Golden Gate Cloning Method Towards Drought Tolerance. Journal of Tropical Life Science 13 (2): 257 – 276. doi: 10.11594/jtls.13.02.04.

Rice Genome Sequencing Project (IRGSP) was established, and they successfully released a highquality finished genome sequenced of *japonica* rice and initially became the first monocot plant that had a complete genome sequence in 2005. In addition, efforts were also made to sequence the *indica* rice using the same whole-genome shotgun sequencing method. Consequently, the highly accurate and public IRGSP sequence of *japonica* rice has opened doors for functional characterisation of the rice genome and allowed the identification of genes underlying complex agronomic traits such as drought-related genes Arginine decarboxylase including (ADC), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), transcription factor AP37 (AP37) and rice salt and drought-induced RING finger1 (OsSDIR1) genes, and recently the rice SUMO-conjugating enzyme (OsSCE1) [4, 5]. This is highly useful in the research of unveiling the biological function of rice genes along with the genetic improvement of rice vield and quality. Due to the rising need, extensive research in genetic, biochemistry and physiology have been done to enable further improvement in rice production.

Despite its huge demand, rice faces a major abiotic threat in the form of climate change - the drought [6]. Drought stress impact on plants can be described by water content reduction, diminished leaf water potential, turgor pressure, stomatal activity and decreasing in cell enlargement and growth. In severe water stress, photosynthetic arrest, metabolism disturbance and eventually, plant death could occur [7]. According to [8], drought significantly decreases the agronomic traits of rice, with the largest decreases in biomass and yield. Previous study by [6], indicated that yield reduction of drought susceptible mega rice variety IR64 (O. sativa subsp. *indica*) was recorded to be more than 85% as compared to under normal well-watered conditions. Therefore, there is a growing need for drought tolerance in rice, a trait that allows the crop to withstand water deficit conditions [9]. This can be made possible through diverse methods and technologies, including genome editing technology [10].

The advancement of genome editing technology i.e., zinc finger nucleases (ZFNs) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases

(TALENs) provides precision in targeting any gene of interest for crop improvement programmes. Recently, the CRISPR/Cas system has been discovered to induce DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) that stimulate error-prone joining nonhomologous (NHEJ) end or homology-directed repair (HDR) at specific genomic locations [11]. For instance, the CRISPR/Cas system has been previously applied to improve many crops such as tomatoes and also rice [12–14]. Hence, utilizing genome editing technology such as CRISPR/Cas system, the manipulation of rice genes to create drought tolerance can be achieved. In addition, CRISPR system is considered highly advantageous compared to other genome editing technologies due to its ability to target any gene locus by just replacing 20 to 25 nucleotides (nts) using single guide RNA (sgRNA) sequence and has been used in not only plants but also other eukaryotic organisms including humans and mice [15–17]. Other than that, the system is efficient since Cas9 enables the targeting of multiple genomic loci simultaneously by co-delivering a combination of sgRNAs to the cells of interest [18].

The OsSCE1 gene is categorised as a SUMO Conjugating Enzyme (SCE) and acts as one of the major stress proteins. SUMO refers to Small Ubiquitin like Modifiers and the enzyme is involved in SUMOvlation which is a multistep process mediated by E1 (SUMO activating enzyme), E2 (SUMO-Conjugating Enzyme or SCE) and E3 (SUMO ligase) enzymes [19]. SUMOvlation is essential in plants for development, hormone signalling, light regulation, flowering time, biotic and abiotic responses functional stress [20]. The characterisation of SUMOylation had been previously performed in Arabidopsis thaliana and was recently studied in monocot plant (rice). [5] conducted gene functional analyses through gene knockdown and over-expression studies and it was evident that the knockdown of the OsSCE1 gene could serve as a solution to drought stress in japonica rice. Thus, in this current study, we developed a CRISPR/Cas9 expression vector to target the OsSCE1 gene, particularly for O. sativa subsp. indica cv. IR64 in hopes to confer drought tolerance in the cultivar.

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science

Material and Methods

OsSCE1 gene prediction and annotation

Oryza sativa subsp. indica gene prediction was conducted using several web-based interface gene prediction software namely FGENESH (http://www.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=fge nesh&group=programs&subgroup=gfind) and GeneMarkS (http://exon.gatech.edu /GeneMark/genemarks.cgi) [21, 22]. Then, homology search was conducted with BLAST DIAMOND and proceeded with the BLAST2GO tool by utilising OmicsBox. The OmicsBox software allows for functional gene annotation utilities such as high throughput BLAST, Mapping, InterProScan, and Gene Ontology (GO) annotation [23, 24].

InterPro-Scan

InterPro annotations in OmicsBox software the retrieval of domain/motif allows for information in а sequence-wise manner. InterProScan was executed and run in OmicsBox public web via the service at EBI (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/). The public EMBL-EBI InterPro web-service search the sequences against InterPro's signatures. Member databases available were all selected and the InterProScan was saved in XML file format.

Gene Ontology (GO) Mapping and Annotation using BLAST2GO

Mapping refers to the process of retrieving GO terms associated to the Hits obtained by the BLAST search. Mapping was run and assigned to the BLAST results in the OmicsBox interface. Graph drawing configuration was done for three different GO categories; biological process, molecular function and cellular function and the mapping statistics graphs were saved. The GO annotation refers to the process of selecting GO terms from the GO pool obtained by the Mapping step and assigned them to the protein query sequences, using the most specific annotations with a certain level of reliability. The annotation annotation was performed using default configuration.

Validation of OsSCE1 gene by Polymerisation Chain Reaction (PCR) and Sequencing

The putative sequence of the *OsSCE1* gene was validated using young leaves of *O. sativa* subsp. *indica* var. IR64 as plant material. The

CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) method was used to extract all plant materials [25-28]. PCR amplifications were performed in a total volume of 25µl containing 1µl of DNA template, 1.5µl of 10µM forward and reverse primers, 12µl of Q5 High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix and 9 µl of nuclease-free water. PCR was initiated by initial denaturation step at 95°C for 3 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C FOR 30 seconds, 59°C for 45 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. To ensure the amplification's specificity, the gene specific primer (GSP) pair was created from the predicted sequence of the OsSCE1 gene and it was used to amplify the extracted DNA. The primer's forward and reverse sequences are 5'-CCTGCTACCACTACAACGCT 5'and GGAGTCACGGGCACAGTTAT, respectively. Amplification products were electrophoretically separated on 1.2% agarose gels with 1X TAE buffer, stained with GelRed® loading dye, and photographed under UV light. A 1 kb DNA ladder was used to estimate the molecular size of the fragments. Then, the PCR products were purified and sent for sequencing.

sgRNA Design

A specific sequence within the gene was chosen to represent the guide RNA (gRNA) to represent the gene of interest (GOI), OsSCE1. Selection of target region in the gene sequences is done by selecting a 20 bp within OsSCE1 gene, on either sense or antisense DNA strand. This selected 20 bp target regions must be located in the first exon of the gene, have a minimum melting temperature (T_m) of 58°C and contain 5' GNNNN NNNNN NNNNN NNNN NGG 3'. Besides, since Cas9 will cut towards the 3' end of the target, it must overlap with a restriction site in the target sequence. The sgRNA forward and reverse primers were generated manually as well as using the sgRNA prediction tools i.e., CC-Top and Benchling.

CCTop - CRISPR/Cas9 Target Online Predictor

CCTop (https://cctop.cos.uniheidelberg.de:8043/), enables the users to select specific gRNA predictions based on protospacer adjacent motifs (PAM) site, target organisms, target length, promoters, and other factors [29, 30]. In order to predict the sgRNAs within the OsSCE1 gene, the putative OsSCE1 genomic

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science

sequence was uploaded, the *Arabidopsis* U6 promoter (U6 or AtU6) was chosen, and most of the default settings were applied. The generated sgRNAs with scoring were downloaded in a spreadsheet format.

Benchling

Benchling (https://benchling.com/) provides a variety of utilities in their informatics platform, including vector construction and gRNA design [31]. The GOI sequence was uploaded to the programme in FASTA format by using the parameters, that were set to 'single guide' with 20 guide length and PAM site 'NGG (SpCas9,3'side)'. The target sequences were then selected.

Vector Construction

The vector construction was executed and visualised using SnapGene and Benchling. Besides, gRNA design, both programmes allow for in silico molecular cloning, such as Golden Gate Cloning. Additionally, the vectors used and constructed can be easily visualised in the form of both linear and circular plasmid. Using the selected gRNA, Level 1 (pICLS01009::AtU6p) was assembled into the destination vector pICH47751 with the use of BsaI restriction enzyme. Next, Level 2 was assembled (pICH47732::NOSp::NPTII-OCST, pICH47742:: 35Sp::Cas9-NOST, pICH47751::AtU6::sgRNA, into the pICH41766) destination vector (pAGM4723). The cut-ligation reaction was performed using BpiI (BbsI).

Validation of Constructed Vector

As in the Golden Gate Cloning method, there are three modular cloning levels of plasmid assembly that need to be done accordingly to insert the sgRNA sequence of the GOI along with Cas9 enzymes. Each of the levels was validated using PCR approach and sequencing to ensure the sequence obtained matched with the designed gRNA as well as the sequence of the Cas9 enzymes.

Results and Discussion *OsSCE1 Gene Prediction and Annotation*

Gene prediction is a method of gene discovery and is intended for genome-wide annotation and discovery research [32, 33]. To our knowledge, there has been limited literature involving the

OsSCE1 gene, particularly in O. sativa subsp. indica. To fill this gap, gene prediction and annotation were carried out to identify the OsSCE1 gene sequence in indica subspecies and its underlying function. In this study, ab initio approach was used to predict genes directly from nucleotide sequences i.e., FGENESH and GeneMarkS [21, 22]. The program uses statistical models to differentiate the promoter, codon or noncoding regions, as well as intron-exon junctions in genomic sequences. For instance, most common model used in these programmes is the Hidden Marcov Model (HMM) [34]. Based on the outcomes, predicted genes from FGENESH were selected as trustworthy and proven, with a total hit of 3,853 sequences.

Following that, further analysis of the predicted genes proceeds with BLAST. Regarded as one of the most common and efficient bioinformatics tools ever developed, BLAST allows for homology search, enabling users to gain insights into the function and regulatory signals of gene sequences. The 3,853 query sequences were blasted using DIAMOND, a program deemed best for high-throughput settings to find high-scoring segment pairs (HSP). In a comparison study involving another widely known sequence comparison tool, BLASTX, it was revealed that an analysis which took one month with BLASTX took only a few minutes with DIAMOND, indicating the high level of efficiency [35].

Out of a total of 3,853 query sequences, 2674 (69.4%) blast hits were obtained. Prior to Gene Ontology (GO) annotation, the query sequence was subjected to InterProScan. Using the InterPro database, it provides an integrative classification of protein sequences into families while identifying functionally important domains and conserved sites. InterPro integrates 13 protein signature databases into one central resource, such PANTHER. CATH-Gene3D, as and SUPERFAMILY [36]. Most of the query sequences were categorised within the protein kinase domain (IPR000719). The successfully blasted sequences were loaded into Blast2GO for annotation including GO data.

GO provides a structured controlled vocabulary composed of terms which describe gene and protein biological roles. As the function of the activity of a protein can be defined at different levels, GO can be further categorised into three different aspects: molecular function,



Figure 1. Gene classifactions generated using BLAST2GO software; a. Biological process, b. molecular function and c. cellular components.

biological process and cellular component. This three-way division is based on the following notions: each protein has elementary molecular functions that are usually independent of the environment, like binding or catalytic activities; sets of proteins interact and are involved in cellular processes such as signal transduction, metabolism or RNA processing; and protein could act in different cellular localizations, such as the membrane or nucleus [37]. The query sequences loaded into OmicsBox were successfully assigned based on the Gene Ontology Consortium in biological process (Figure 1a), molecular function (Figure 1b) and cellular components (Figure 1c).

In Figure 1a, the biological process term graph of GO classification displays RNA metabolic process (240 genes) as the most dominant process within the sequences, followed by DNA integration (210 genes), cellular macromolecule biosynthesis process (207 genes), response to stimulus (170), and gene expression (168). For molecular function illustrated in Figure 1b, the query sequences were classed into 7 categories: transferase activity (transferring phosphoruscontaining groups), nucleic acid binding, hydrolase activity (acting on ester bonds), protein binding, ATP binding, catalytic activity (acting on a protein) and zinc ion binding. Among them, nucleic acid binding was shown to be the dominant molecular function with 28% (470 genes). The rest of the functions were relatively occurring in similar percentages like protein binding (16%) and catalytic activity (14%).

While in Figure 1c, the sequences were distributed according to its cellular component, which consisted of 5 classes: cell periphery, cytoplasm, nucleus, protein-containing complex, and integral component of membrane. The majority of the sequences fell into an integral component of membrane with the highest percentage of 38% (435), followed by cytoplasm (292), nucleus (184), protein-containing complex (110), and cell periphery (108).

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science

Validation of OsCE1 Gene

Among the 2,674 blast hits, OsSCE1, encoding the E2 type SUMO conjugating enzyme gene sequence was predicted (Supplementary 1). The candidate gene was identified to be involved in ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme activity (E2) with the GO ID identified as GO:0061631. This finding corresponds to the characteristics of OsSCE1 as mentioned by [5]. The E2 is one of the key enzymes in the larger ubiquitin-proteasome system which is associated to abiotic stresses reported in several plants including mung bean, rice, Arabidopsis and tobacco [38]. Other than that, the candidate sequence was categorised with the enzyme commission number, E.C:2.3.2.23, also known as the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme. This finding further confirms the identity of the candidate gene.

Additionally, the candidate gene was also identified to be involved with other GO names such as protein polyubiquitination, ubiquitindependent protein catabolic process, ATP binding, and nucleus with the GO IDs GO:0000209, GO:0006511, GO:0005524, and GO:0005634 respectively (Table 1). The verification process was further extended by cross referencing the sequence within NCBI selected the (refseq_protein) database, which yielded 93% identity match to ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 4 (Oryza sativa japonica Group, XP_015614603.1). Together, the present findings confirm the candidate gene as the putative OsSCE1 gene with 585 bp long and encodes for 195 amino acids.

The predicted *OsSCE1* gene has been validated and isolated from IR64 by experimental laboratory through DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing procedures. As mentioned in the methodology, the CTAB method has been used for DNA extraction of *O. sativa*

subsp. *indica* var. IR64. This method has been proven by various research where DNA concentration yielded by this method is higher compared to other methods even by using DNA extraction kits [25–28]. In addition, according to [39] this procedure is inexpensive, straightforward, high throughput and PCR compatible.

DNA concentration and its purity are crucial parts for many applications in molecular biology. Impurities in nucleic acid can cause erroneous measurements of DNA concentration and could restrict subsequent labelling responses. In this study, concentration and purity of nucleic acids NanoDropTM were measured using spectrophotometer, which was evaluated by the ratio of the absorbance at 260nm and 280nm (A_{260}/A_{280}) and 260nm and 230nm (A_{260}/A_{230}) . The concentrations of nucleic acid for new leaves 1 (NL1), new leaves 2 (NL2), and new leaves 3 (NL3) were 1916.0 ng/l, 2813.9 ng/l, and 1464.6 ng/l, respectively. These plant samples were harvested with three replicates and were labelled as NL1, and NL2 and NL3. Ratios of A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ and A_{260}/A_{230} are used to determine the purity of the extracted DNA and it can be affected by the presence of contaminants in the biological samples or the chemicals used in the extraction process like CTAB [40–42]. The reading of ratio A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ for samples NL1, NL2, and NL3 are 2.12, 2.13, and 2.14, respectively, and the reading of ratio A₂₆₀/A₂₃₀ for samples NL1, NL2, and NL3 are 2.32, 2.26 and 2.23, respectively. [43, 44] reported that ~2.0 reading and above are considered as common measurement and acceptable range for DNA sample purity and all the readings recorded were above 2.0 reading, which reading for ratio A₂₆₀/A₂₃₀ are slightly higher compared to ratio A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ for all three samples.

Table 1. Biological description of putative OsSCE1 gene

Sequence ID	Description	Length (amino acids)	GO IDs	GO Names	Enzyme Codes
FGENESH_25 886exon(s)	ubiquitin- conjugating enzyme E2 4	195	P:GO:0000209 P: GO:0006511 F:GO:0005524 F:GO:0061631 C: GO:0005634	P: protein polyubiquitination; P: ubiquitin dependant protein catabolic process; F: ATP binding. C: nucleus	E.C:2.3.2.23

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science



Figure 2. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of genomic DNA extracted from *Oryza sativa* subsp. *indica* var. IR64 using gene specific primer. Lane 1, 1kb DNA marker (M); lane 3, non-template control (-VE); lanes 4, 5 and 6, plant samples (NL1, NL2, and NL3).

Table 2. Descri	ption of the selec	ted sgRNA sequer	nce for OsSCE1 gene
1 4010 -1 2 00011	phon of the beree	cea ogra in r oequer	lee for obo off gene

OsSCE1 gene sequence	Labelled	Sequence	GC Content (%)
From NCBI	SG1	GTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTC GGG	57.1
validated			
From NCBI	SG2	CACGGTGCGCCTGCGGCAAG CGG	65.0
validated			
From putative	SG3	GCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGG	50.0
OsSCE1 gene			
	OsSCE1 gene sequence From NCBI validated From NCBI validated From putative OsSCE1 gene	OssCE1 gene sequenceLabelledFrom NCBISG1validatedFrom NCBISG2validatedFrom putativeSG3OssCE1 gene	OsSCE1 gene sequenceLabelledSequenceFrom NCBI validatedSG1GTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGFrom NCBISG2CACGGTGCGCCTGCGGCAAGCGGvalidatedSG3GCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGGFrom putativeSG3GCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGGOsSCE1 geneSG3SCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGG

These three samples were proceeded with PCR analysis by using a gene specific primer (GSP) pair and the PCR products were separated electrophoretically along with 1kb DNA ladder (Figure 2). The fragments size obtained for three samples were similar which were 981 bp and the sequences obtained from sequencing were shown in Supplementary 2.

The amplicon intensity on the gel and spectrophotometer results led to the selection of NL2 for sequencing since it had the highest DNA concentration for further experiments. The primer pair that was created from the predicted sequence of the OsSCE1 gene was used to amplify the homologous gene from IR64 using forward and reverse primer; 5'-CCTGCTACCACTACAACGCT 5'and GGAGTCACGGGCACAGTTAT. The sequence obtained was confirmed with NCBI blast. The sequence blast result showed the closest hit with O. sativa subsp. indica Group cultivar Teqing SUMO E2 conjugating enzyme SCE1- like protein (Os10g0536000) with an identity value of 99%.

sgRNA Sequence Design

As plants require a long generation time, it is critical to select an efficient sgRNA that could effectively target the desired site. This study aims to generate gene knockout by disrupting exons that are shared by all transcript variants of the OsSCE1 gene. In order to do so, targeting functional protein domains is necessary to result in the loss-offunction mutations. Once the selected sgRNA targets the protein-coding region, it results in frame-shift mutation and subsequent premature stop codons, leading to mRNA elimination by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [46]. Furthermore, it is necessary to target the early exon in the putative OsSCE1 gene in order to ensure frame-shift mutation and no protein is going to be translated.

The *CRISPR/Cas9* system could be programmed to virtually cleave any sequence preceding a 5'-NGG-3' PAM sequence. Nevertheless, the success rate is not always guaranteed with regard to all sites predicted to be targeted [47]. Therefore, to overcome this

obstacle, the use of gRNA prediction tools was incorporated to design an efficient sgRNA. In this study, the sgRNA sequence was predicted using gRNA prediction tools, namely, CCTop and Benchling. The top hit sgRNA sequence demonstrating the high-efficiency score was retrieved and analysed. The candidate sgRNA sequence was selected based on its respective efficiency score to reduce potential off-targets.

The sgRNA must contain the following sequence pattern: "GNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNNN. The finding suggests that since the sequence was close to the predicted sgRNA sequence site from CCTop and Benchling, the manual site could be an excellent choice of sgRNA in this study. The selected sgRNA sequence had a PAM sequence bolded nucleotide base in Table 2. Besides the sgRNA sequence adhered to a characteristic essential to sgRNA effectiveness in plants by having a G/C composition of greater than 50%. According to [47], 97% of sgRNA have a G/C content between 30% and 80%, indicating it as an important efficiency criterion.

Vector Construction

The vector construction was first executed virtually using Benchling, which applied the concepts of the Golden Gate Cloning method. The cloning method relies on the use of type II restriction enzyme and allows for the assembly of several DNA fragments in a defined linear order in a vector in a single step. In addition, this cloning technique is characterized by great cloning efficiency and the ability to ligate up to 24 fragments in a single cloning procedure [48]. In the DNA assembly prior to Level 1, the amplification of sgRNA is required, where the sgRNA needs to be amplified with pICH86966::AtU6p::sgRNA PDS construct as a template in Figure 3, as this construct carry guide RNA scaffold for CRISPR/Cas9 systems. This procedure aimed in producing single guide RNA (sgRNA) as a guide for Cas9 endonuclease activity, as it contains 5'-NGG-3' PAM sequence that can reduce the off-target site.

Guide RNA designed in forward direction (20 to 25 bp) was annealed to the scaffold and amplified producing PCR product of size almost to 200 bp (Figure 3). The obtained results were in the range of the expected band size, which is in between 150 to 200 bp, thus, it indicates that the





three gRNAs were successfully annealed with the scaffold in the pICH86966::AtU6p::sgRNA_PDS construct.

Then, the resulting PCR product and vector pICSL01009::AtU6p (SpecR) were delivered into Level 1 destination vector pICH47751, producing Level 1 AtU6p::sgRNA, with the sgRNA placed under the Arabidopsis U6 promoter. The cutligation reaction utilised the BsaI restriction enzyme and T7 DNA ligase. Once the cut-ligation process was done, the products were incubated overnight in 4°C before being transformed into the competent cell *E. coli* strain DH5α. The mutation of recA1 and endA1 in competent cells DH5a functions to support blue-white screening since the destination vector pICH47751 contain LacZ alpha gene fragment that is used for the screening of transformant colony. The mutation of those genes can increase the stability and promotes better transformation efficiencies [49]. Figure 4 showed single colonies that grew after the transformation product, with different volumes, on Luria Bertani (LB) media supplemented with carbenicillin antibiotics and X-gal for blue-white screening. As a result, the colour of the colonies will serve as a marker for the existence of the GOI [50]. All of the plates showed many white colonies and only a few blue colonies appeared after being incubated overnight at 37°C. As white colony indicated that the colony contained construct with insert (recombinant) while blue colony contained construct without insert [50].

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science



Figure 4. Single colonies on LB media supplemented with carbenicillin antibiotics and X-gal. LB agar plate was spread with 100μ l of DH5 α cells transformed with ligation products of CRISPR Level 1 constructs.



Figure 5. Bacterial colonies formed after transformation of CRISPR Level 2 vector and incubated at 37°C overnight in the

The single white colonies were picked and proceeded with plasmid purification and PCRanalysed using the same forward and reverse primers used in PCR of CRISPR plasmid Level 0. The purified PCR products were separated on 1.2% 1X TAE gel electrophoresis for 65 minutes at 100V. Then, all of the PCR products were sent for sequencing to confirm the insert (Supplementary 3-6). After sequencing result analysis, three plasmids were picked and proceeded with cut-ligation for CRISPR Level 2.

The level 2 assembly was executed by introducing Level 1 construct (pICH47732::NOSp ::NPTII-OCST, pICH47742:: 35Sp::Cas9-NOST, pICH47751::AtU6::sgRNA, pICH41766) into Level 2 destination vector pAGM4723. The cutligation reaction was done using *BpiI* (*BbsI*), producing Level 2 (NPTII-Cas9-sgRNA). Like CRISPR Level 1, cut-ligated product was then transformed in the competent cells, DH5α, yet the

difference for CRISPR Level 2, the marker used for this level exhibit different colour of the colonies as well as the selective antibiotics used is kanamvcin [51]. The destination vector pAGM4723 contain selectable marker known as CRed, which is an artificial bacterial operon that responsible for canthaxanthin biosynthesis [51]. Therefore, red-white colonies (instead of bluewhite colonies) were observed on the LB media supplemented with kanamycin (Figure 5). Similar to Level 1, the white colony was selected as it should carry the intended inserts, to proceed with the confirmation by sequencing. However, the number of white colonies recovered for Level 2 assembly was much lower compared to that of in Level 1 E. coli transformation plates. This was mostly due to more plasmids self-ligated in Level 2 step, therefore the rate of successful ligation of the intended insert reduced.

Since the amount of white colony is limited, hence only one white colony was picked for each plate and proceeded with the plasmid purification and amplified the purified plasmids were PCRanalysed with forward (5'-GGGATGAC GCACAATCCCAC-3') reverse and (5'-TATGCGCCAGCGCGAGATAG-3') primers designed for Level 2. The primer pair designed in this level is meant to amplify the region between 35S promoter and Cas9 regions. In Figure 6, the PCR product were separated electrophoretically on 1.2% 1X TAE gel electrophoresis for 65 minutes at 100V. The PCR products for sample SG1.201 (one single-white colony from SG1 plates with 20µl spread of bacterial broth) was



Figure 6. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of Level 2 CRISPR. Lane 1, 100bp DNA marker (M); lane 2, non-template control (-VE); lane SG1 (201, 401, and 1001) and SG3 (201, 401, 1001), plasmid of white colonies amplified with 35S-Cas9 primer.

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science



Figure 7. Circular maps of: (a) Level 1 vector pICH47751::AtU6::sgRNA, (b) Level 2 Vector (NPTII-Cas9-sgRNA).

then sent for sequencing Cas9 sequence validations (Supplementary 7-8).

The resulting vectors of Level 1 (4600 bp) and Level 2 (12255 bp) intended for *OsSCE1* gene knockout are visualised in the form of a circular plasmid map in Figure 7a and Figure 7b, respectively. Together, with the successful inclusion of *OsSCE1* sgRNA sequence within the Level 2 vector, it marks the completion of CRISPR construct for *Oryza sativa* subsp. *indica* cv. IR64 targeting *OsSCE1* gene through the Golden Gate Cloning method.

Conclusion

The CRISPR/Cas9 construct is a novel method for systematically characterising and comprehending the molecular function of genes. The detailed generation CRISPR/Cas9 construct for OsSCE1 gene in O. sativa subsp. indica was successfully completed and represented in this study. This study showed that our approach makes it possible to incorporate such inclusions, leading to a quicker and more effective assembly process, which help to increase rice's tolerance toward stresses. The usefulness of proposed gene knockouts in O. sativa for drought tolerance will be further investigated during the *in vivo* stage to ensure a successful outcome.

Acknowledgment

The abstract of this work was presented during the International Conference of Bioscience and Medical Engineering (ICBME 2022). This work is supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia FRGS/1/2019/STG05/UIAM/03/8. We also like to express our gratitude to the International Islamic University Malaysia for its technical support.

References

- 1. Nagata T, Hosaka-Sasaki A, Kikuchi S (2016) The evolutionary diversification of genes that encode transcription factor proteins in plants. In: Gonzalez DH, eds. Plant Transcription Factors. Academic Press. 73-97.
- 2. Sasaki T (2001) Rice genome analysis to understand the rice plant as an assembly of genetic codes. Photosynthesis research 70 (1): 119-127. doi:10.1023/A:101384411049.
- 3. Nair KP (2019) Utilizing crop wild relatives to combat global warming. Advances in Agronomy 153: 175-258. doi: 10.1016/bs.agron.2018.09.001.
- 4. Oladosu Y, Rafii MY, Samuel C et al. (2019) Drought Resistance in Rice from Conventional to Molecular Breeding: A Review. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 20 (14). doi: 10.3390/ijms20143519.
- Nurdiani D, Widyajayantie D, Nugroho S (2018) OsSCE1 Encoding SUMO E2-Conjugating Enzyme Involves in Drought Stress Response of Oryza sativa. Rice Science 25 (2): 73–81. doi: 10.1016/j.rsci.2017.11.002.
- 6. Salleh MS, Nordin MS, Shahari R et al. (2021) Response of primed rice (*Oryza sativa*) seeds towards reproductive stage drought stress. Sains Malaysiana 50 (10): 2913–2921. doi: 10.17576/jsm-2021-5010-06.
- Singh CM, Kumar B, Mehandi S, Chandra K (2012) Effect of Drought Stress in Rice: A Review on Morphological and Physiological Characteristics. Trends in Biosciences 5 (4): 261–265.
- Zhang J, Zhang S, Cheng M et al. (2018) Effect of drought on agronomic traits of rice and wheat: A metaanalysis. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 15 (5). doi: 10.3390/ijerph15050839.

- Sahebi M, Hanafi MM, Rafii MY et al. (2018) Improvement of Drought Tolerance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.): Genetics, Genomic Tools, and the WRKY Gene Family. Biomed Research International 2018: 1-20. doi: 10.1155/2018/3158474.
- Bi H, Yang B (2017) Gene editing with TALEN and CRISPR/Cas in rice. Progress in molecular biology and translational science. 149: 81-98. doi: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.04.006.
- 11. Gaj T, Gersbach CA, Barbas III CF (2013) ZFN, TALEN and CRISPR/Cas-based methods for genome engineering. Trends in Biotechnology (31) 7. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2013.04.004.ZFN.
- Zhou H, Liu B, Weeks DP et al. (2014) Large chromosomal deletions and heritable small genetic changes induced by CRISPR/Cas9 in rice. Nucleic Acids Research 42 (17): 10903–10914. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku806.
- 13. Uluisik S, Chapman NH, Smith R et al. (2016) Genetic improvement of tomato by targeted control of fruit softening. Nature Biotechnology 34 (9): 950–952. DOI: 10.1038/nbt.3602.
- 14. Zainuddin Z, Mohd-Zim NA, Azmi NSA et al. (2021) Genome editing for the development of rice resistance against stresses: A review. Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science 44 (3): 599–616. doi: 10.47836/pjtas.44.3.06.
- Fujihara Y, Ikawa M (2014) CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing in mice by single plasmid injection. In: Doudna JA, Sontheimer EJ, eds. Methods in Enzymology. Academic Press. 546: 319–336. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-801185-0.00015-5.
- Shen B, Zhang J, Wu H et al. (2013) Generation of genemodified mice via Cas9/RNA-mediated gene targeting. Cell Research 23 (5): 720–723. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.46.
- 17. Horvath P, Barrangou R (2013) RNA-guided genome editing à la carte. Cell Research 23 (6): 733–734. doi: 10.1038/cr.2013.39.
- Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J et al. (2013) Genome engineering using the CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nature Protocols 8 (11): 2281–2308. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2013.143.
- Nigam N, Singh A, Sahi C et al. (2008) SUMOconjugating enzyme (*Sce*) and FK506-binding protein (FKBP) encoding rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genes: Genomewide analysis, expression studies and evidence for their involvement in abiotic stress response. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 279 (4): 371–383. doi: 10.1007/s00438-008-0318-5.
- 20. Rosa MTG, Almeida DM, Pires IS et al. (2018) Insights into the transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of the rice SUMOylation machinery and into the role of two rice SUMO proteases. BMC Plant Biology 18 (1): 1–18. doi: 10.1186/s12870-018-1547-3.
- Besemer J, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M (2001) GeneMarkS: A self-training method for prediction of gene starts in microbial genomes. Implications for finding sequence motifs in regulatory regions. Nucleic Acids Research 29 (12): 2607–2618. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.12.2607.
- 22. Solovyev V, Kosarev P, Seledsov I, Vorobyev D (2006) Automatic annotation of eukaryotic genes, pseudogenes and promoters. Genome Biology 7 (1): S10. doi: 10.1186/gb-2006-7-s1-s10.

- 23. Hasan S, Huang L, Liu Q et al. (2022) The Long Read Transcriptome of Rice (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica* var. *Nipponbare*) Reveals Novel Transcripts. Rice 15 (29): 1–17. doi: 10.1186/s12284-022-00577-1.
- 24. Zuo Y, Verheecke-Vaessen C, Molitor C et al. (2022) *De novo* genome assembly and functional annotation for *Fusarium langsethiae*. BMC Genomics 23 (158): 1-10. doi: 10.1186/s12864-022-08368-0.
- 25. Yu C, Cohen LH (2004). Tissue sample preparation Not the same old grind. https://www.chromatographyonline.com/view/class-isback-in-session-more-questions-on-your-extractionknowledge. Accessed date: November 2021.
- 26. Psifidi A, Dovas CI, Bramis G, et al. (2015). Comparison of eleven methods for genomic DNA extraction suitable for large-scale whole-genome genotyping and long-term DNA banking using blood samples. PLoS one. 10 (1): e0115960. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115960.
- 27. Zhang G, Weiner JH (2000) CTAB-mediated purification of PCR products. BioTechniques 29 (5): 982–986. doi: 10.2144/00295bm11.
- 28. Chen S, Borza T, Byun B et al. (2017). DNA markers for selection of late blight resistant potato breeding lines. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 8 (6): 1197–1209. doi: 10.4236/ajps.2017.86079.
- 29. Stemmer M, Thumberger T, del Sol Keyer M, Wittbrodt J, Mateo JL (2015) CCTop: An intuitive, flexible and reliable CRISPR/Cas9 target prediction tool. PLOS ONE 10 (4): e0176619. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0124633.
- 30. Labuhn M, Adams FF, Ng M et al. (2018) Refined sgRNA efficacy prediction improves largeand smallscale CRISPR-Cas9 applications. Nucleic Acids Research 46 (3): 1375–1385. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1268.
- Doench JG, Fusi N, Sullender M et al. (2016) Optimized sgRNA design to maximize activity and minimize offtarget effects of CRISPR-Cas9. Nature Biotechnology 34 (2): 184–191. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3437.
- 32. Allen JE, Pertea M, Salzberg SL (2004) Computational gene prediction using multiple sources of evidence. Genome Research 14 (1): 142–148. doi: 10.1101/gr.1562804.
- 33. Wang Z, Chen Y, Li Y (2004) A brief review of computational gene prediction methods. Genomics, Proteomics bioinformatics 2 (4): 216–221. doi: 10.1016/S1672-0229(04)02028-5.
- 34. Yu Y, Santat LA, Choi S (2006) Bioinformatics packages for sequence analysis. Applied Mycology and Biotechnology 6: 143–160. doi: 10.1016/S1874-5334(06)80009-2.
- 35. Buchfink B, Xie C, Huson DH (2014) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nature Methods 12 (1): 59–60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176.
- 36. Blum M, Chang HY, Chuguransky S et al. (2021) The InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years on. Nucleic Acids Research 49 (D1): D344–D354. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa977.
- Yu B, Hinchcliffe M, eds. (2011) In Silico Tools for Gene Discovery. 1st Edition. New Jersey: Humana Totowa.
- 38. Liu W, Tang X, Qi X et al. (2020) The Ubiquitin Conjugating Enzyme: An Important Ubiquitin Transfer Platform in Ubiquitin-Proteasome System. International Journal of Molecular Science 21 (8): 2894. doi: 10.3390/ijms21082894.

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science

- Rani B, Sharma VK (2016) A Modified CTAB Method for Quick Extraction of Genomic DNA from Rice Seed/Grain/Leaves for PCR Analysis. International Journal of Science and Research Methodology 4 (4): 254– 260.
- 40. Moreira PA, Oliveira DA (2011) Leaf age affects the quality of DNA extracted from *Dimorphandra mollis* (Fabaceae), a tropical tree species from the Cerrado region of Brazil. Genetics and Molecular Research 10 (1): 353–358. doi: 10.4238/vol10-1gmr1030.
- 41. García-Alegría AM, Anduro-Corona I, Pérez-Martínez CJ et al. (2020) Quantification of DNA through the nanodrop spectrophotometer: Methodological validation using standard reference material and sprague dawley rat and human DNA. International Journal of Analytical Chemistry 2020: 1-9. doi: 10.1155/2020/8896738.
- 42. Matlock B (2015). Assessment of Nucleic Acid Purity. https://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/brochures/TN 52646-E-0215M-NucleicAcid.pdf. Accessed date: August 2022.
- 43. Abdel-Latif A, Osman G (2017) Comparison of three genomic DNA extraction methods to obtain high DNA quality from maize. Plant Methods 13 (1): 1–9. doi: 10.1186/s13007-016-0152-4.
- 44. Yeates C, Gillings MR, Davison AD, Altavilla N, Veal DA. Methods for microbial DNA extraction from soil for PCR amplification. Biological Procedures Online 14 (1): 40-47. doi: 10.1251/bpo6.

- 45. Van Campenhout C, Cabochette P, Veillard AC et al. (2019) Guidelines for optimized gene knockout using CRISPR/Cas9. Biotechniques 66 (6): 295–302. doi: 10.2144/btn-2018-0187.
- 46. Lucena-Aguilar G, Sánchez-López AM, Barberán-Aceituno C, Carrillo-Ávila JA, López-Guerrero JA, Aguilar-Quesada R (2016) DNA Source Selection for Downstream Applications Based on DNA Quality Indicators Analysis. Biopreservation and Biobanking 14 (4): 264–270. doi: 10.1089/bio.2015.0064.
- 47. Liang G, Zhang H, Lou D, Yu D (2016) Selection of highly efficient sgRNAs for CRISPR/Cas9-based plant genome editing. Scientific Reports 6: 21451. doi: 10.1038/srep21451.
- 48. Marillonnet S, Grützner R (2020) Synthetic DNA Assembly Using Golden Gate Cloning and the Hierarchical Modular Cloning Pipeline. Current Protocol Molecular Biology 130: e115. doi: 10.1002/cpmb.115.
- 49. Renzette N (2011) Generation of transformation competent *E. coli*. Current Protocols in Microbiology 22: A3L.1-A3L.5. doi: 10.1002/9780471729259.mca03ls22.
- 50. Sadeghi S, Ahmadi N, Esmaeili A, Javadi-Zarnaghi F (2017) Blue-white screening as a new readout for deoxyribozyme activity in bacterial cells. RSC Advances 7: 54835–54843. doi: 10.1039/c7ra09679h.
- Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R et al. (2011) A modular cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PLOS One 6 (2): e16765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016765.

Putative OsSCE1 gene (From Rice Genome Chromosome 10)

Nucleotide sequence

Amino acid sequence

MSSPSKRAEMDLMKLMMSDYKVEMVNDGMQEFFVEFRGPTESIYQGGVWKVRVELPDAYPYKSPSIGFVNKIYHP NVDEMSGSVCLDVINQTWSPMFGEITLVLVIISTDLVNVFEVFLPQLLLYPNPSDPLNGEAAALMMRDRPAYEQK VKEYCEKYAKPEDAGVTPEDKSSDEELSEDEDDSGDDAILGNPDP

Sequencing results of OsSCE1 gene (Isolated from this study) Nucleotide sequence

PLUS STRAND

MINUS STRAND

SG1 Forward Level 1

>SG1.FWDPRIMER GTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGG

>SG1.201F

CANCCGGGAACACTAGAATTCGAGCTCGGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATA ATGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCT AGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGC TTTACTTGTCTTCTGCACGAG

>SG1.202F

CCCCCCGGGAAAACTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATA TAATGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGG CTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTAC GCTTTACTTGTCTTCTGCACGAAAA

>SG1.401F

 ${\tt TCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAATGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTTTACTTGTCTTCTGCACGAAATT$

>SG1.402F

CCCACCCGGGAAAACTAGAATTCGAGCTCGGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATA TAATGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGG CTAGTCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTAC GCTTTACTTGTCTTCTGCACGA

>SG1.1001F

>SG1.1002F

ACCCGGGAAAACTAGAATTCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAAT GATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGTCTCAGACCTCCACTCTGTCGGGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAG TCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTT TACTTGTCTTCTGCACGAA

SG1 Reverse Level 1

>SG1.RVS TGTGGTCTCAAGCGTAATGCCAACTTTGTAC

>SG1.201R

TTTGTAAGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTT GCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCCCGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCTG CTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGCCCACTGCA TCCACCCCAGTACAA

>SG1.202R

TTTGAAANAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTT GCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCCCGGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCTG CTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGCCCACTGCA TCCACCCCAGTACAAA

>SG1.401R

CTTTGTCAGAAAGCTGGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAAC TTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCCCGGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATATAAACTAAGC TGCTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGGCCCACTG CATCCACCCCAGTACAACNT

>SG1.402R

 ${\tt TCCTTTGTAAGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTGGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAACCCCCGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCTGCTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGGCCCACTGCATCCCACCCCAGTACAAA}$

>SG1.1001R

 ${\tt TCCTTTGTNAGGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTA ACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAACCCCCGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATATAAACTAA GCTGCTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGGCCCAC TGCATCCACCCCAGTACAA$

>SG1.1002R

TTTGTNAGGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACT TGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCCCGACAGAGTGGAGGTCTGAGACAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCT GCTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGCCCACTGC ATCCACCCCAGTACA

SG3 Forward Level 1

>SG3.FWD GCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGG

>SG3.201F

CGNGNAAACTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAATGA TAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGCTCATGATGTCCCGACTACAAGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTCC GTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTTTAC TTGTCTTCTGCACGA

>SG3.202F

NCGGGANCAACTAGAATTCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAATGA TAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGCTCATGATGTCCCGACTACAAGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTCC GTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTTTAC TTGTCTTCTGCACGA

>SG3.401F

ACCGTGNAAACTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAA TGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGGGGTTTTTAAAGCTAAAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAG TCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGGGGCCACCGAGTCGGGGCTTTTTTTCTAAACCCACCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACCCTT TACTTGTCTTCTGCAGGAA

>SG3.402F

 $\label{eq:constructed} a constructed a construction of the const$

>SG3.1001F

ACCGGGAAAACTAGAATTCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAATG ATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGCTCATGATGTCCGACTACAAGGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAGTC CGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTTTA CTTGTCTTCTGCACGAA

>SG3.1002F

ACCCGGGAAAACTAAGAATTCGAGCTCGGAGTGATCAAAAGTCCCACATCGATCAGGTGATATATAGCAGCTTAGTTTATATAA TGATAGAGTCGACATAGCGATTGCTCATATGTCCGACTACAAGGGGTTTTAGAGGCTAGAAATAGCAAGTTAAAATAAGGCTAG TCCGTTATCAACTTGAAAAAGTGGCACCGAGTCGGTGCTTTTTTTCTAGACCCAGCTTTCTTGTACAAAGTTGGCATTACGCTT TACTTGTCTTCTGCACGA

SG3 Reverse Level 1

>SG3.RVS TGTGGTCTCAAGCGTAATGCCAACTTTGTAC

>SG3.201R

 $\label{eq:stable} GNNAGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACTTGCT ATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAACCCCTTGTAGTCGGACATCATGAGCAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCTGCTATATAAACTAAGCTGCTATATAAACTAAGCTGCTATATAAACTAAGCTGCTATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGCCCACTGCATCCA CCCCAGTACAAA \\ \label{eq:stable}$

>SG3.202R

NTTTGTCAGAAAGCTGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTAACT TGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCCTTGTAGTCGGACATCATGAGCAATCGCTATGTCGACTCTATCATTATAAAACTAAGCTG CTATATATCACCTGATCGATGTGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCACAGAGTGGGGCCCACTGCA TCCACCCCAGTACAA

>SG3.401R

 ${\tt TCNTTTGTAAGAAAGCTGGGGTCTAGAAAAAAGCACCGACTCGGTGCCACTTTTTCAAGTTGATAACGGACTAGCCTTATTTTA ACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAAACCCCTTGTAGTCGGGACATCATGACCAATCGCTATGTCAACTCATTATATAAACTAACCCGGTCTATATATCACCTGATCGATGGGGGGACTTTTGATCACTCCGAGCTCGAATTCTAGTTTGTCTTCCCAAAGTGGGGGCCCACT GCTTCCCACCCCAGTAAAAAA$

>SG3.402R

>SG3.1001R

>SG3.1002R

SG1.201 Forward Level 2 E. coli

LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	TCTCCGACTACGACGTGGATCATATCGTGCCCCAGTCTTTTCTCAAAGATGATTCTATTG
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	ATAATAAAGTGTTGACAAGATCCGATAAAAATAGAGGGAAGAGTGATAACGTCCCCTCAG
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	AAGAAGTTGTCAAGAAAATGAAAAATTATTGGCGGCAGCTGCTGAACGCCAAACTGATCA <mark>AAGAAGTTGTCAAGAAAATGAAAAATTATTGGCGGCAGCTGCTGAACGCCAAACTGATCA</mark> ******
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	CACAACGGAAGTTCGATAATCTGACTAAGGCTGAACGAGGTGGCCTGTCTGAGTTGGATA <mark>CACAACGGAAGTTCGATAATCTGACTAAGGCTGAACGAGGTGGCCTGTCTGAGTTGGATA</mark> ***********************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	AAGCCGGCTTCATCAAAAGGCAGCTTGTTGAGACACGCCAGATCACCAAGCACGTGGCCC <mark>AAGCCGGCTTCATCAAAAGGCAGCTTGTTGAGACACGCCAGATCACCAAGCACGTGGCCC</mark> *********************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	AAATTCTCGATTCACGCATGAACACCAAGTACGATGAAAATGACAAACTGATTCGAGAGG <mark>AAATTCTCGATTCACGCATGAACACCAAGTACGATGAAAATGACAAACTGATTCGAGAGG</mark> *******
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	TGAAAGTTATTACTCTGAAGTCTAAGCTGGTTTCAGATTTCAGAAAGGACTTTCAGTTTT <mark>TGAAAGTTATTACTCTGAAGTCTAAGCTGGTTTCAGATTTCAGAAAGGACTTTCAGTTTT</mark> *********************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	ATAAGGTGAGAGAGATCAACAATTACCACCATGCGCATGATGCCTACCTGAATGCAGTGG <mark>ATAAGGTGAGAGAGATCAACAATTACCACCATGCGCATGATGCCTACCTGAATGCAGTGG</mark> **********************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	TAGGCACTGCACTTATCAAAAAATATCCCAAGCTTGAATCTGAATTTGTTTACGGAGACT <mark>TAGGCACTGCACTTATCAAAAAATATCCCAAGCTTGAATCTGAATTTGTTTACGGAGACT</mark> ************************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	ATAAAGTGTACGATGTTAGGAAAATGATCGCAAAGTCTGAGCAGGAAATAGGCAAGGCCA <mark>ATAAAGTGTACGATGTTAGGAAAATGATCGCAAAGTCTGAGCAGGAAATAGGCAAA</mark> G <mark>C</mark> **********************************
LVL2.SEQ L2E_SG1201_Forward	CCGCTAAGTACTTCTTTTACAGCAATATTATGAATTTTTTCAAGACCGAGATTACACTGG

** * **

JTLS | Journal of Tropical Life Science

SG1.201 Reverse Level 2 E. coli

LVL2.SEQ	TCTCCGACTACGACGTGGATCATATCGTGCCCCAGTCTTTTCTCAAAGATGATTCTATTG
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	TTACGTGGATCATATCGTGCCCCAGTCTTTTCTCAAAGATGATTCTATTG

LVL2.SEQ	ATAATAAAGTGTTGACAAGATCCGATAAAAATAGAGGGAAGAGTGATAACGTCCCCTCAG
L2E_SG1201_REVRCOMP	ATAATAAAGTGTTGACAAGATCCGATAAAAATAGAGGGAAGAGTGATAACGTCCCCTCAG

LVL2.SEQ	AAGAAGTTGTCAAGAAAATGAAAAATTATTGGCGGCAGCTGCTGAACGCCAAACTGATCA
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	AAGAAGTTGTCAAGAAAATGAAAAATTATTGGCGGCAGCTGCTGAACGCCAAACTGATCA

LVL2.SEQ	CACAACGGAAGTTCGATAATCTGACTAAGGCTGAACGAGGTGGCCTGTCTGAGTTGGATA
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	CACAACGGAAGTTCGATAATCTGACTAAGGCTGAACGAGGTGGCCTGTCTGAGTTGGATA

LVL2.SEQ	AAGCCGGCTTCATCAAAAGGCAGCTTGTTGAGACACGCCAGATCACCAAGCACGTGGCCC
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	AAGCCGGCTTCATCAAAAGGCAGCTTGTTGAGACACGCCAGATCACCAAGCACGTGGCCC

LVL2.SEQ	AAATTCTCGATTCACGCATGAACACCAAGTACGATGAAAATGACAAACTGATTCGAGAGG
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	AAATTCTCGATTCACGCATGAACACCAAGTACGATGAAAATGACAAACTGATTCGAGAGG

LVL2.SEQ	TGAAAGTTATTACTCTGAAGTCTAAGCTGGTTTCAGATTTCAGAAAGGACTTTCAGTTTT
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	TGAAAGTTATTACTCTGAAGTCTAAGCTGGTTTCAGATTTCAGAAAGGACTTTCAGTTTT

LVL2.SEO	ATAAGGTGAGAGAGATCAACAATTACCACCATGCGCATGATGCCTACCTGAATGCAGTGG
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	ATAAGGTGAGAGAGATCAACAATTACCACCATGCGCATGATGCCTACCTGAATGCAGTGG

LVL2.SEO	TAGGCACTGCACTTATCAAAAATATCCCAAGCTTGAATCTGAATTTGTTTACGGAGACT
L2E SG1201 REVRCOMP	TAGGCACTGCACTTATCAAAAATATCCCCAAGCT <mark>A</mark> GAAT <mark>SC</mark> GAATTTG <mark>CC</mark> TACCCAGACC
